

Harnessing Digital Twins for Human-Robot Teaming in Industry 5.0: Exploring the Ethical and Philosophical Implications

Even Falkenberg Langås
Dept. of Engineering Sciences
University of Agder
Grimstad, Norway
even.falkenberg.langas@uia.no

Muhammad Hamza Zafar
Dept. of Engineering Sciences
University of Agder
Grimstad, Norway
muhammad.h.zafar@uia.no

Filippo Sanfilippo
Dept. of Engineering Sciences,
University of Agder,
Grimstad, Norway
Dept. of Software Engineering,
Kaunas University of Technology,
Kaunas, Lithuania
filippo.sanfilippo@uia.no

Abstract—In the era of Industry 5.0, the convergence of humans and robots in collaborative work environments has brought forth the concept of digital twins (DTs) of humans and robots. These virtual replicas, mirroring their physical counterparts, have become integral to the design and operation of complex systems. This paper aims to explore the ethical and philosophical implications associated with the design and use of DTs of humans and robots in human-robot collaboration (HRC), and even further in human-robot teaming (HRT). By examining the potential benefits, challenges, and risks, this research seeks to shed light on the responsible development and application of DTs in the context of Industry 5.0.

Index Terms—Digital Twin, Human-Robot Collaboration, Ethics, Philosophy

I. INTRODUCTION

Increased prosperity in a world with an increasing population is closely related to the development of industrial infrastructure. In the last decades, we have seen significant advancements in the fields of internet of things (IoT) and artificial intelligence (AI), as well as the decreased cost of computing power. Together with concepts like cloud computing, big data and data processing, these technologies have become key enablers for the transition to Industry 4.0. This has paved the way for the next phase of industrial transformation, known as Industry 5.0. While Industry 4.0 focused on the integration of digital technologies and automation within manufacturing processes, Industry 5.0 takes it a step further by emphasising the collaboration between humans and machines. This transition recognises the importance of human skills, creativity, and problem-solving abilities in conjunction with advanced technologies. Industry 5.0 aims to create more flexible and adaptive production systems that enable seamless cooperation between humans and robots, ultimately enhancing productivity and innovation in manufacturing industries [1].

This research is supported by the Biomechatronics and Collaborative Robotics research group at the Top Research Center Mechatronics (TRCM), University of Agder (UiA), Norway, by Twiligent and by the Research Council of Norway.

One key technology that plays a crucial role in Industry 5.0 is digital twins (DTs). A DT can be defined as a virtual representation of a physical asset or process [2]. It provides real-time insights and simulations that enable companies to monitor and analyse the performance of their products, machines, or entire production lines [3]. By integrating data from sensors with 3D-models and machine learning (ML) algorithms, DTs can offer comprehensive understanding of the physical counterpart, enabling predictive maintenance, optimisation, and better decision-making. DTs facilitate improved operational efficiency, reduced downtime, and enhanced product quality, thereby revolutionising the way industries operate in the era of Industry 5.0 [2].




Fig. 1. Ethical and philosophical aspects of digital twins in human-robot collaboration.

Industry 5.0 and DTs enable the implementation of advanced human-robot collaboration (HRC), which is a fundamental aspect of the transition to Industry 5.0, where humans and robots work together in close proximity to perform tasks that leverage their respective strengths. In this collaborative environment, robots take care of repetitive and physically demanding tasks, while humans contribute their cognitive abilities, adaptability, and problem-solving skills. By combining the precision and efficiency of robots with human creativity and decision-making, companies can achieve greater productivity, agility, and customisation. HRC not only enhances productivity but also promotes worker safety and job satisfaction. Through the use of advanced sensors, ML, and intuitive interfaces, HRC is revolutionising industrial workflows and redefining the future of work in Industry 5.0 [4]. Furthermore, we are witnessing a significant transition in the area of Industry 5.0 from HRC to the more revolutionary concepts of human-robot teaming (HRT) [3], [5]. This progression comprises the seamless integration of human and robotic capabilities, supporting not just collaboration but also a synergistic partnership that maximises both entities' strengths for increased productivity, inventiveness, and flexibility in complicated work situations.

A. Objectives

The scope of this paper encompasses the design and use of DTs of humans and robots in the context of HRC/HRT within the era of Industry 5.0. It aims to investigate the ethical and philosophical implications arising from the utilisation of these virtual replicas in collaborative work environments. The paper will delve into the potential benefits, challenges, and risks associated with DTs in HRC/HRT, and provide recommendations for their responsible development and application.

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- To examine the concept of DTs and their role in HRC/HRT, specifically within the context of Industry 5.0.
- To analyse the ethical implications of employing DTs in HRC/HRT, including privacy and data security concerns, issues of autonomy and control, intellectual property and ownership, and the psychological and societal impact on humans.
- To explore the philosophical implications of DTs in HRC/HRT, considering ontological and epistemological aspects, phenomenological and existential perspectives, as well as ethical theories and moral agency.
- To present case studies and best practices that exemplify the responsible implementation of DTs in HRC/HRT, and identify industry standards and lessons learned.

The ethical and philosophical aspects of DTs in HRC/HRT which will be discussed in this paper are summarised in Fig. 1. This paper, together with [6] and [7], aim to provide guidelines for safe and efficient HRC/HRT from an ethical and philosophical standpoint. While this paper considers the implications regarding DTs in HRC/HRT, [6] considers safe actuators and soft robots. Furthermore, [7] explores the implications of using ML for HRC/HRT in the context of Industry 5.0.

II. ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF DIGITAL TWINS IN HRC/HRT

The development of industrial infrastructure is supported by the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (UN-SDG). UN-SDG is a call for action by all countries to achieve peace and prosperity while protecting the planet. In their goal number 9, "Industry, innovation and infrastructure", they emphasise the importance to "build resilient infrastructure, promote sustainable industrialisation and foster innovation" [8]. Further on, in 9-2, they urge to "upgrade infrastructure, and retrofit industries to make them sustainable, with increased resource-use efficiency and greater adoption of clean and environmentally sound technologies and industrial processes". DTs show great promise in enabling this development urged by the UN. However, as the use of DTs becomes more widespread, it is important to consider the ethical implications of this technology, especially in the context of HRC/HRT applications, in order to ensure that it aligns with the principles of sustainability and human well-being that underlie the UN-SDG. This section will cover some of the most important ethical implications of using DTs in HRC/HRT.

A. Privacy and Data Security

The use of DTs in HRC/HRT raises significant privacy and data security concerns. DTs require the collection and processing of vast amounts of personal data to create accurate virtual replicas of humans and robots. This includes sensitive information such as biometric data, behavioral patterns, and operational data [9]. The ethical implications arise from the potential misuse, unauthorised access, or breaches of this personal data. It is crucial to establish robust privacy-preserving measures, secure data storage, and ensure compliance with relevant data protection regulations. Additionally, informed consent and transparency regarding data collection and usage should be emphasised to maintain the trust and autonomy of individuals involved in HRC/HRT.

Imagine a hypothetical future factory, with thousands of employees and robots working together. Restrictions on data collection and privacy will restrict the insight of the management and is therefore left out by the decision makers to increase the factory output. Workers are constantly being monitored by cameras and sensors that are feeding the DT. Any deviation from the prescribed routine or any perceived inefficiency is immediately reported to the management. The workers have no privacy and are expected to conform to the standards of the factory at all times.

This system resembles the totalitarian dystopia depicted in George Orwell's book *1984*. The fictional book shows a society where scouts, cameras and microphones are collecting information and providing it to Big Brother, ostensibly the leader of the totalitarian state or merely a symbolic representation of the government. When misused, industrial DTs can be the tool for business management to resemble Big Brother. Even more so, when using DTs for smart cities.

It might seem somewhat far fetched. However, this is not far from the reality that can be seen in Amazon warehouses

today. Through radio-scanners, they are tracking packages through their warehouses, and indirectly tracking the workers handling those packages. Internal documents, filed with the National Labor Relations Board as part of a dispute at a recently unionised Amazon Warehouse in Staten Island, show how Amazon focuses on a key performance indicator they call *Time off task*, or TOT. The documents reveal that employees can be fired for accumulating too much TOT [10].

This totalitarian system is justified by the promise of efficiency and productivity, but at what cost? Is the capitalistic growth more worth than workers' dignity, freedom and mental health? The examples above show the importance of carefulness when embracing new technologies. Ethical and philosophical implications must be considered in research, engineering and politics. Complying to the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a step in the right direction. However, it is crucial that we keep updating these regulations as new technology emerges, so we can limit exacerbation of existing social inequalities in businesses by suppressing the privacy of individuals.

B. Autonomy and Control

DTs in HRC introduce complex questions surrounding autonomy and control [11]. As these virtual replicas become more integrated into collaborative work environments, it is essential to address issues of decision-making authority. The ethical implications arise when the actions or decisions made by a DT impact the physical counterpart without their explicit consent or involvement. When considering the transition from HRC to the developing concept of HRT, ensuring a fair distribution of autonomy and control becomes even more critical. The complexities of decision-making power become further more obvious in the area of HRT, where people and robots operate fluidly as integrated teams. Because the actions or choices made by a DT within the context of HRT can have direct consequences not only on the physical counterpart but also on the overall performance and dynamics of the human-robot team, meticulous attention and responsible oversight in the design and utilisation of DTs in such advanced collaborative settings is required. Balancing the control between human operators, robots, and their DTs with techniques such as impedance and admittance control [5], requires careful consideration of ethical principles such as human agency, accountability, and the preservation of individual autonomy. Clear guidelines and frameworks should be established to ensure that human operators retain ultimate control over the actions and decisions of their DTs.

C. Intellectual Property and Ownership

The design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT raise intellectual property and ownership concerns. Creating accurate representations of humans and robots involves capturing proprietary information, designs, and processes. This poses ethical challenges related to intellectual property rights and fair compensation. Organisations must establish clear agreements and policies regarding ownership of DTs, including the rights to

data, algorithms, and design elements. Collaboration between stakeholders, including individuals, robot manufacturers, and system integrators, is crucial to define fair and equitable distribution of ownership and associated benefits.

D. Psychological and Societal Impact

DTs in HRC/HRT can have psychological and societal implications. Humans working in collaboration with their DTs may experience a sense of identity merging or detachment, leading to potential psychological stress or confusion. Ethical considerations include ensuring the well-being and mental health of individuals involved in HRC/HRT. Societal impacts arise from the potential displacement of human workers by DTs or the reinforcement of existing inequalities. It is essential to proactively address these concerns by providing support mechanisms, training, and re-skilling opportunities for individuals affected by the integration of DTs. Moreover, addressing societal implications requires considerations of inclusivity, fairness, and equitable access to DT technologies to avoid exacerbating social inequalities.

Addressing the ethical implications of DTs in HRC/HRT requires the establishment of comprehensive frameworks, guidelines, and regulations. These should address privacy and data security, the preservation of autonomy and control, fair intellectual property rights, and the psychological well-being of individuals. By addressing these ethical concerns, the responsible design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT can foster trust, transparency, and the ethical advancement of Industry 5.0.

III. PHILOSOPHICAL IMPLICATIONS OF DIGITAL TWINS IN HRC/HRT

A. Ontological and Epistemological Considerations

The emergence of DTs in HRC/HRT raises ontological and epistemological questions regarding the nature of reality, identity, and knowledge. Ontologically, the existence of a virtual replica of a human or robot challenges traditional notions of what it means to be a physical entity. The philosophical inquiry revolves around whether a DT possesses its own ontological status or if it is merely a representation or tool. Epistemologically, the question arises regarding the knowledge and understanding gained through the interaction with DTs. How does the presence of a DT influence our perception of reality, and how does it shape our understanding of human-robot interaction, collaboration, and teaming? Exploring these philosophical implications can deepen our understanding of the relationship between humans, robots, and their digital counterparts.

B. Phenomenological and Existential Perspectives

From a phenomenological perspective, DTs introduce new dimensions to the subjective experiences of humans and robots in HRC/HRT. The incorporation of DTs may alter the way we perceive ourselves, others, and the collaborative work environment. This raises questions about the impact on the lived experiences of individuals involved in HRC/HRT.

Existentially, DTs challenge our conceptions of authenticity, freedom, and the meaning of work. The presence of virtual replicas blurs the boundaries between reality and simulation, potentially influencing our sense of purpose and personal fulfillment. Examining these perspectives can shed light on the existential implications of integrating DTs into the fabric of collaborative work environments.

C. Ethical Theories and Moral Agency

The integration of DTs in HRC/HRT prompts a re-evaluation of ethical theories and concepts of moral agency. Traditional ethical theories may need to be revisited to account for the interactions and responsibilities associated with DTs. Questions arise as to the moral status and responsibilities of DTs, the role of human operators in their actions and decisions, and the attribution of moral agency. Ethical frameworks such as consequentialism, deontology, and virtue ethics may need to be adapted or supplemented to address the unique ethical landscape introduced by DTs. Exploring these philosophical implications allows us to develop ethical frameworks that promote responsible decision-making and moral accountability in the context of HRC/HRT.

By examining the ontological, epistemological, phenomenological, existential, and ethical dimensions of DTs in HRC/HRT, we can deepen our understanding of the philosophical implications. This exploration provides valuable insights into the nature of reality, the subjective experiences of individuals, and the ethical considerations associated with the integration of DTs. Integrating philosophical perspectives in the design and use of DTs can help shape responsible practices that align with our philosophical understanding and ensure the ethical advancement of HRC/HRT in the era of Industry 5.0.

IV. RESPONSIBLE DESIGN AND USE OF DIGITAL TWINS IN HRC/HRT

The design and use of DTs consists of several stages, shown in Fig. 2. It starts with the design phase where requirements and specifications are defined. This leads to the development phase, where 3D-models are created, sensors are connected and the user interface is developed. Further on, the DT will be put into the operational stage where it is connected to the real world assets and processes. Now the DT can be considered complete. However, the maintenance phase will continue in order to keep the models and data up to date. It is especially in the design phase that ethical considerations should be made. This phase lays the foundation for the life cycle of the DT.

A. Ethical Frameworks for Digital Twin Development

To ensure the responsible design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT, it is crucial to establish ethical frameworks. These frameworks should guide the development process, encompassing principles and guidelines that promote transparency, fairness, and the protection of human rights. Ethical considerations should be integrated into the entire life cycle of DTs, from data collection and modeling to deployment and operation [12]. Frameworks can draw from established ethical

principles such as beneficence, non-maleficence, autonomy, and justice. By adopting a principled approach, developers can embed ethical considerations into the design process and mitigate potential risks and harms associated with DTs.

B. Privacy-Preserving Techniques and Data Governance

Addressing privacy concerns is a key aspect of responsible DT development. Privacy-preserving techniques should be employed to protect the confidentiality and integrity of personal data used in creating and operating DTs [13]. This includes techniques such as data anonymisation, encryption, and secure data storage. Additionally, robust data governance frameworks should be established to define clear data usage policies, access controls, and mechanisms for obtaining informed consent. By prioritising privacy and data protection, responsible design and use of DTs can be ensured, maintaining the trust and confidence of individuals involved in HRC/HRT.

C. Establishing Ethical Guidelines for Digital Twins

Ethical guidelines specific to DTs in HRC/HRT should be developed to address the unique challenges and considerations they introduce. These guidelines can provide clarity on issues related to HRC/HRT, autonomy, decision-making, and accountability. They should outline the rights and responsibilities of human operators, robots, and their DTs, emphasising the importance of human agency and control. The guidelines should also cover issues of fairness, diversity, and inclusivity to ensure that DTs are developed and used in a manner that upholds social and ethical values.

D. Ensuring Transparency and Accountability

Responsible use of DTs in HRC/HRT necessitates transparency and accountability. Organisations and developers should be transparent about the presence and functionality of DTs, clearly communicating their purpose, capabilities, and limitations to all stakeholders. This transparency helps to establish trust among human operators, robot manufacturers, and end-users. Additionally, mechanisms should be in place to ensure accountability for the actions and decisions made by DTs. Clear lines of responsibility should be defined, enabling effective oversight and ensuring that any negative consequences arising from the use of DTs are appropriately addressed.

By incorporating ethical frameworks, privacy-preserving techniques, establishing ethical guidelines, and emphasising transparency and accountability, the responsible design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT can be promoted. These measures help to mitigate ethical risks, safeguard privacy, uphold human rights, and ensure the beneficial integration of DTs into collaborative work environments.

V. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

While the use of DTs in HRC/HRT offers numerous opportunities, there are also several challenges and limitations that need to be considered. These challenges can impact the design, development, and effective implementation of DTs in




Fig. 2. Phases of digital twin design for human-robot collaboration.

TABLE I
CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS OF DIGITAL TWINS IN HUMAN-ROBOT COLLABORATION AND TEAMING

Topic	Challenge
Technical Complexity	Developing and implementing DTs in HRC/HRT involves complex technical aspects. Creating accurate virtual replicas that mimic the behaviors and capabilities of humans and robots requires sophisticated modeling, data collection, and integration techniques. Managing and processing large amounts of real-time data in a synchronised manner can also be technically challenging. Moreover, ensuring the compatibility and interoperability of DTs with existing HRC/HRT systems and technologies can pose additional complexities.
Data Availability and Quality	The quality and availability of data play a crucial role in the accuracy and effectiveness of DTs. Acquiring real-time and high-quality data from various sources can be challenging, especially in dynamic and unpredictable HRC/HRT environments. Data collection from physical robots, human operators, and their interactions can be limited or noisy, affecting the fidelity of the DTs. Ensuring a continuous flow of reliable data is essential for maintaining the integrity and usefulness of the virtual replicas.
Ethical and Privacy Concerns	The integration of DTs in HRC/HRT raises ethical and privacy concerns. The collection, storage, and usage of personal data, including biometric information and behavioral patterns, raise privacy issues. Protecting the confidentiality and security of sensitive data becomes a critical challenge. Ensuring informed consent, transparency, and compliance with data protection regulations are necessary to address these concerns and maintain the trust and confidence of individuals involved in HRC/HRT.
Human-Machine Interfaces	The interaction between human operators and their DTs requires intuitive and effective user interfaces, such as virtual/augmented/mixed reality (VR/AR/MR). Designing user interfaces that allow seamless communication and control between humans and virtual replicas can be challenging. The usability, learnability, and acceptance of these interfaces by diverse user groups should be considered to ensure effective collaboration and user satisfaction. Additionally, maintaining the right balance of autonomy and control is crucial to avoid over-reliance on the DTs or the disempowerment of human operators.
Cost and Resource Requirements	Developing, implementing, and maintaining DTs in HRC/HRT can incur significant costs. The acquisition of advanced sensors, data processing infrastructure, and computational resources can be expensive. Furthermore, the continuous monitoring, updating, and maintenance of DTs require dedicated resources and expertise. Organisations need to consider the financial implications and resource allocation necessary to ensure the long-term viability of DTs in HRC/HRT.
Ethical and Philosophical Complexity	The ethical and philosophical implications of DTs in HRC/HRT introduce complex considerations. Determining the boundaries of responsibility and accountability between human operators, robots, and their DTs can be challenging. Additionally, addressing issues of fairness, diversity, and social impact requires careful deliberation. The philosophical exploration of concepts such as identity, agency, and existential implications adds further complexity to the design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT.

HRC/HRT. It is important to acknowledge and address these challenges to ensure the responsible use of this technology. Some key challenges and limitations to consider is shown in Table I.

It is important to acknowledge these challenges and limitations while designing and implementing DTs in HRC/HRT. Overcoming technical complexities, ensuring data availability and quality, addressing ethical and privacy concerns, optimising human-computer interaction, managing costs, and grappling with ethical and philosophical complexities are key areas that require attention to maximise the benefits of DTs while minimising risks and limitations. By addressing

these challenges, organisations can navigate the path towards responsible integration and use of DTs in the context of HRC/HRT.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In the era of Industry 5.0, the design and use of Digital Twins (DTs) of humans and robots in Human robot collaboration/teaming (HRC/HRT) have raised significant ethical and philosophical implications. This paper has explored these implications and provided insights for their responsible development and application in the context of Industry 5.0.

The research has highlighted the potential benefits of DTs in enhancing collaboration, improving efficiency, and enabling advanced analysis and decision-making in HRC/HRT. However, it has also brought attention to the ethical challenges and risks associated with their use. Privacy and data security concerns, issues of autonomy and control, questions of intellectual property and ownership, as well as psychological and societal impacts, are among the key ethical considerations.

Moreover, the paper has delved into the philosophical implications of DTs in HRC/HRT, including ontological and epistemological considerations, phenomenological and existential perspectives, and the need to adapt ethical theories and concepts of moral agency. By exploring these dimensions, a deeper understanding of the relationship between humans, robots, and their DTs has been established.

To ensure the responsible design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT, several recommendations have been proposed. The establishment of ethical frameworks for DT development, incorporating privacy-preserving techniques and robust data governance, is essential. The creation of ethical guidelines specific to DTs in HRC/HRT will address the unique challenges they present. Transparency and accountability measures are crucial for maintaining trust and ensuring that ethical considerations are upheld throughout the life cycle of DTs.

This paper has emphasised the importance of proactive measures to mitigate risks and ensure that the integration of DTs aligns with ethical principles and societal values. By embracing responsible practices, stakeholders can harness the potential of DTs while upholding human rights, privacy, fairness, and the well-being of individuals involved in HRC/HRT.

In conclusion, the responsible design and use of DTs in HRC/HRT require a multidimensional approach. By integrating ethical and philosophical considerations into the development process, organisations can foster trust, transparency, and accountability in the era of Industry 5.0. Continued research, collaboration, and the establishment of industry standards will contribute to the ethical advancement and responsible integration of DTs, ultimately shaping a future where HRC/HRT flourishes while upholding ethical and philosophical principles.

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Xu, Y. Lu, B. Vogel-Heuser, and L. Wang, "Industry 4.0 and industry 5.0— inception, conception and perception," *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, vol. 61, pp. 530–535, 2021.
- [2] P. K. R. Maddikunta, Q.-V. Pham, B. Prabadevi, *et al.*, "Industry 5.0: A survey on enabling technologies and potential applications," *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, vol. 26, p. 100257, 2022.
- [3] F. Sanfilippo, E. F. Langås, H. Bukhari, and S. Robstad, "Pervasive and connected digital twins for edge computing enabled industrial applications," in *Proc. of the 56th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS 2023), Maui, Hawaii, United States of America*, 2023, pp. 6789–6798.
- [4] A. K. Inkulu, M. R. Bahubalendruni, and A. Dara, "Challenges and opportunities in human robot collaboration context of industry 4.0—a state of the art review," *Industrial Robot: the international journal of robotics research and application*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 226–239, 2022.
- [5] F. Sanfilippo, M. Økter, J. Dale, H. Minh Tuan, M. H. Zafar, and M. Ottestad, "An educational sensorised elastic actuator design for prosthetics and orthotics," Submitted to *HardwareX*, 2023.
- [6] M. T. Hua, E. F. Langås, M. H. Zafar, and F. Sanfilippo, "From rigid to hybrid/soft robots: Exploration of ethical and philosophical aspects in shifting from caged robots to human-robot teaming," in *Proc. of the 2023 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI 2023)*, Mexico City, Mexico, 2023.
- [7] M. H. Zafar, F. Sanfilippo, and T. Blazauskas, "Harmony unleashed: Exploring the ethical and philosophical aspects of machine learning in human-robot collaboration for industry 5.0," in *Proc. of the 2023 IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence (SSCI 2023)*, Mexico City, Mexico, 2023.
- [8] *Goal 9: Build resilient infrastructure, promote sustainable industrialisation and foster innovation*, <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/infrastructure-industrialization/>, [Online; accessed 03-May-2023], 2023.
- [9] S. Liu, X. V. Wang, and L. Wang, "Digital twin-enabled advance execution for human-robot collaborative assembly," *CIRP annals*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 25–28, 2022.
- [10] J. Kantor, K. Weise, and G. Ashford, "The Amazon That Customers Don't See," *The New York Times*, vol. 15, 2021.
- [11] C. Li, P. Zheng, S. Li, Y. Pang, and C. K. Lee, "Ar-assisted digital twin-enabled robot collaborative manufacturing system with human-in-the-loop," *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 76, p. 102321, 2022.
- [12] K. Y. H. Lim, P. Zheng, and C.-H. Chen, "A state-of-the-art survey of digital twin: Techniques, engineering product lifecycle management and business innovation perspectives," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 31, pp. 1313–1337, 2020.
- [13] G. Thakur, P. Kumar, S. Jangirala, A. K. Das, Y. Park, *et al.*, "An effective privacy-preserving blockchain-assisted security protocol for cloud-based digital twin environment," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, pp. 26877–26892, 2023.